

From: Gee, Chris
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/23/02 5:28pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

I am writing to express my concern that the Proposed Final Judgement is ineffective, allows anti-competitive practices to continue, is excessively vague or overnarrow and should NOT be adopted without substantial revisions to address the following problems:

- The PFJ doesn't take into account Windows-compatible competing operating systems

- The PFJ Contains Misleading and Overly Narrow Definitions and Provisions

- The PFJ Fails to Prohibit Anticompetitive License Terms currently used by Microsoft

- The PFJ Fails to Prohibit Intentional Incompatibilities Historically Used by Microsoft

- The PFJ Fails to Prohibit Anticompetitive Practices Towards OEMs

- The PFJ as currently written appears to lack an effective enforcement mechanism.

Details of which are at: <http://www.kegel.com/remedy/remedy2.html>

I am also indicating that I will be a co-signer of Dan Kegel's Comments

Thank you for your time and attention,

Christopher W. Gee